

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET				
<b>STATINTL</b>				
<b>SUBJECT:</b> (Optional) Procedure for Handling Requests for Assistance to Other Federal Agencies				
[Redacted] C/PPG 4E70		EXTENSION 5311	NO. DATE <i>29 March 1977</i>	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
1. DD/P&M				<p>I have reviewed, analyzed, studied, and compared with previous correspondence and with the regulations the attached memorandum from [Redacted]. I agree that it desperately needs clarification and interpretation before putting out to the OS troops. I would suggest that an OS directive or notice might be a more appropriate vehicle than memoranda to the DD's. If my understanding is correct after all my analysis, the approval authority or mechanism is divided three ways, but in every case an approval action is necessary:</p> <p>a. If the activity is one of those 14 specifically listed in our 4 Mar 1977 memorandum to the EO/DDA, the attached memo indicates that henceforth such an activity may be carried out with the approval of the Director of Security;</p> <p>b. If the activity is similar to one of those described in the 4 Mar 1977 memo, it may be carried out with the approval of the DDA; in requesting such approval we send drop copies to OGC and the IG.</p> <p>c. If the activity involves an undertaking without established precedent of legality or propriety or involves policy or resource implications such as those listed in [Redacted] Annex E, paragraphs (3)(a) through (3)(f), it will require not only DDA approval but also OGC and IG concurrence.</p> <p>If you agree, PPG will prepare an OS directive laying it all out.</p>
2. <i>C/PPG / P+M</i>				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				